## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **DIVISION SIX**

THE PEOPLE,	2d Crim. No. B196653
Plaintiff and Respondent,	(Super. Ct. No. F395544) (San Luis Obispo County)
v.	
BRYAN MOORE,	
Defendant and Appellant.	

Bryan Moore appeals an order determining him to be a mentally disordered offender (MDO) pursuant to Penal Code section 2962 et seq., and committing him to the Department of Mental Health for treatment. <sup>1</sup>

In 2005, Moore was convicted of committing a battery upon a police officer. (§ 243, subdivision (c)(2).) The Los Angeles County Superior Court sentenced him to 16 months' imprisonment. On October 30, 2006, the Board of Prison Terms determined that Moore was an MDO pursuant to the criteria of section 2962. As a condition of parole, it required him to accept treatment from the Department of Mental

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

Health. Moore filed a petition pursuant to section 2966, subdivision (b), to contest the decision. He waived his right to a jury trial, and a court trial followed.

At trial, the court received testimony and written reports from four mental health experts. Three of the experts opined that Moore met the criteria of section 2962. Moore also testified. At the conclusion of the trial, the court concluded that Moore met the MDO criteria of section 2962.

We appointed counsel to represent Moore in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief raising no issues.

On April 3, 2007, we advised Moore that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We have not received a response.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Moore's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The order is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P.J.

We concur:

COFFEE, J.

PERREN, J.

## Charles S. Crandall, Judge Superior Court County of San Luis Obispo

\_\_\_\_\_

Rudy Kraft, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.